UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,383 02/05/2004		Daqing Che	PT2087000	3324
81399 <b>Apotex</b> , Inc.	7590 04/01/200	9	EXAMINER	
150 Signet Driv Toronto, ON M			HUGHES, ALICIA R	
CANADA	9L 119		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)		
		10/771,3	83	CHE ET AL.	
		Examine	r	Art Unit	
			. HUGHES	1614	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED ST. WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sy - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FO NGER, FROM THE MA e available under the provisions of m the mailing date of this commu- lecified above, the maximum stat set or extended period for reply w Office later than three months affi ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THE 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no extraction. Utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIC, yent, however, may a reprint expire SIX (6) MONTI blication to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to 2a)⊠ This action is 3)□ Since this app	communication(s) filed FINAL. 2 lication is in condition for	b) This action is r	non-final. : for formal matte	•	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	d 3-24 is/are pending in ve claim(s) is/are is/are allowed. d 3-24 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co			
Application Papers					
10) The drawing(s  Applicant may r  Replacement d	on is objected to by the filed on is/are: not request that any object rawing sheet(s) including to claration is objected to	a) accepted or becion to the drawing(s) the correction is require	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  i) is objected to. See 37 (	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.0	c. § 119				
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) S  1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies applicat	ent is made of a claim forme * c) None of: I copies of the priority of copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of the detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap ents have been r le 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this Nationa	ıl Stage
· <b>=</b>	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PT Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	<sup>-</sup> O-948)	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Status of the Claims

Claims 1 and 3-24 are pending and the subject of this Office Action.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e)

has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office Action has been withdrawn pursuant to

37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed 02 March 2009 has been entered.

Applicants' arguments, filed on 02 March 2009, have been fully considered and it is

deemed to be persuasive regarding the previous rejection. Rejections and objections not

reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn.

Upon reconsideration of the pending claims, as presented, the following new rejections

are applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections being applied to the instant

application presently.

Claims 1 and 3-24 are herein acted upon on the merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office Action.

Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,087,511 [hereinafter referred to as "Lin et al"](the reference is being considered in its totality)<sup>1</sup>.

The teachings of Lin et al as set forth in this Office's Actions of 08 February 2008 and 02 December 2008 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

Applicants insistently argue that Lin et al teaches away from the instant invention, because "the step reversal addition (adding the atorvastatin salt solution to the calcium chloride or calcium acetate solution), as well as Applicants' direct one step process versus the two step process found in the '511 Patent is not obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art" (Remarks of July 18, 2008, pages 11-12). However, as noted prior, these arguments are not persuasive, most notably, because of the open claim language comprising encompasses the scope of the full invention. Applicants also note unexpected advantages over the prior art. However, in the absence of clear evidence of record, this appears to be but an allegation lacking factual support.

The adjustment of particular conventional working conditions such as quantity of seeds of amorphous atorvastatin calcium relative to the weight percent of atorvastatin lactone and the stoichiometry of sodium hydroxide relative to the same, and the timing of the hydrolysis reaction are mere matters of routine optimization and judicious selection well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

As a result, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform the instant invention based on the disclosures in Lin et al because as noted therein, although

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lin et al is cited on Applicants' IDS.

amorphous atorvastatin solids were known to exist in advance of the advent of crystalline atorvastatin, "the production of amorphous atorvastatin by the previously disclosed processes was not consistently reproducible (Col. 1, lines 61-65). Further, it was also known that the bioavailability patterns of drugs often differ based on whether their forms are amorphous or crystalline, for example, making it desirable to have a procedure for converting the crystalline form to the amorphous form (Col. 2, lines 1-7).

In view of the foregoing, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare amorphous atorvastatin calcium by the hydrolysis of atorvastatin lactone to form atorvastatin sodium salt, to suspend the same into a solution of aqueous calcium acetate, and then, to isolate and dry the same to form amorphous atorvastatin calcium salt and that the same would be effective in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.

## Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6026. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/771,383 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

applications is available through Public PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct-uspto.gov">http://pair-direct-uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alicia R. Hughes/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Raymond J Henley III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614